JOC The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Article

pubs.acs.org/joc

Iridium/Copper Co-catalyzed *Anti*-Stereoselective Ring Opening of Oxabenzonorbornadienes with Grignard Reagents

Guo Cheng, Wen Yang, Yue Li, and Dingqiao Yang*

Key Laboratory of Theoretical Chemistry of Environment, Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Environment, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Cooperative catalysis has been widely considered as one of the most powerful strategies to improve synthetic efficiency. A new iridium/copper cocatalyst was developed for the ring-opening reaction of oxabenzonorbornadienes with a wide variety of Grignard reagents, which afforded the corresponding *anti*-2-substituted 1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ols in high yields (up to 99% yield) under mild conditions. The effects of catalyst loading, Lewis acid, Grignard reagent loading, and reaction temperature on the yield were investigated. To the best of our knowledge, it represents the first example of ring-opening reactions of oxabicyclic alkenes with Grignard reagent nucleophiles in a *trans*-stereoselective manner.



INTRODUCTION

Development of new methods and efficient strategies for carbon−carbon bond-forming processes that can create multiple stereocenters is one of the challenges in synthetic organic chemistry. The transition-metal-catalyzed ring-opening reaction of oxa- and azabicyclic alkenes have provided an important method to construct C−C and C−X bond formation.[1] This process may afford valuable hydronaphthalene scaffolds of a wide range of natural products and bioactive molecules.[2] In the past several decades, a large variety of carbanion nucleophiles have been used to perform this transformation. Initially, organolithium reagents,[3] organozincs,[4] and organocuprates[5] showed limited success in affording *syn*-addition ring-opening products in moderate to good yields. In sharp contrast, Grignard reagent, which is the most accessible organometallic reagent, has limited applications in catalytic reactions. The main limitations of Grignard reagent are its high reactivity, which results in a rapid reaction, and its sensitivity to reaction conditions.[6] In 1996, Lautens et al. reported the nickel-catalyzed ring-opening of [2.2.1]oxabicyclic alkenes in which a large excess of Grignard reagent was used to generate mainly *syn*-stereoselective ring-opening products.[7] Later on, copper-catalyzed *anti*-stereoselective ring-opening of oxabicyclic alkenes with Grignard reagents was gradually reported in succession by Carretero's group,[8] Zhou's group,[9] and Alexakis' group,[10] respectively. In addition, Nakamura's group has also described that iron-catalyzed *syn*-stereoselective ring opening of [2.2.1]- and [3.2.1]oxabicyclic alkenes with Grignard reagents.[11] More recently, our group has reported a new platinum-catalyzed *anti*-stereoselective ring-opening of oxabicyclic alkenes with various Grignard reagents, which affords the corresponding *anti*-2-substituted 1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ols with moderate to good yields.[12] Furthermore, our group has been committed to the iridium-catalyzed *anti*-stereoselective ring-opening of benzo- and alkyl-substituted oxa- and azabicyclic alkenes using amines,[13] phenols,[14] alcohols,[15] or carboxylic acids[16] as nucleophiles. While this monocatalysis strategy has successfully delivered vast numbers of new reactions over many decades, multicatalysis concepts have recently began to emerge, which can allow access to many difficult or unattainable transformations. In particular, synergistic catalysis, wherein two catalysts and two catalytic cycles work in concert to create a single new bond, has emerged as a powerful new mechanistic approach to reaction engineering. Therefore, cooperative catalysis has been widely considered as one of the most powerful strategies to improve the synthetic efficiency, in many cases enabling chemical reactions that are impossible or inefficient using a traditional single-catalyst method.[17] On the other hand, the cooperative effect of multicatalyst might be expected to improve the reactivity and selectivity. The use of multiple catalyst systems can enlarge the substrate and reaction scope for the reaction design, improve the reactivity, and benefit the control of selectivity.[18] Recently, Fan's group has reported a transition metal/Lewis acid cooperative catalysis for asymmetric ring-opening reaction of oxa- and azabenzonorbornadienes with terminal alkynes,[19a] phenols,[19b] or amines.[19c,d] To the best of our knowledge, however, iridium/copper-co-catalyzed ring opening of oxabicyclic alkenes with Grignard reagents remains in demand. Thus, our continuous interest in developing ring-opening of oxa- and azabicyclic alkenes prompted us to further explore and expand

Received: June 20, 2016
Published: July 25, 2016

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823

the scope of this type of reaction in the presence of a new iridium/copper cocatalyst. Against the above background, we report $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ and Lewis acid CuI cocatalyst for ring opening of oxabenzonorbornadienes with Grignard reagents, and we have obtained the biologically corresponding 2-substituted 1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ols in high yields (up to 99% yield). According to our laboratory's previous work on the iridium catalytic system, we have obtained a *trans*-configuration of the product rather than *cis* by X-ray diffraction analysis.[13−16] Furthermore, the effects of catalyst loading, Lewis acid, Grignard reagent, and temperature on the yield were investigated. It represents the first example in ring-opening reactions of oxabicyclic alkenes with Grignard reagent nucleophiles in a *trans*-stereoselective manner.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To explore the ring-opening reactions and optimize the reaction conditions, an achiral ligand 1,3-bis-(diphenylphosphino)propane (DPPP) was first chosen to validate the catalytic activity of the iridium complex in the ring-opening reactions of oxabenzonorbornadiene **1a** with phenylmagnesium bromide **2a** (2.5 equiv) as benchmark substrates in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) at 40 °C. The desired ring-opening product **3a** was achieved in poor yield (*anti*/*syn* > 99/1, 15%) (Table 1, entry 1), implying that $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ may be used the catalyst precursor. To further optimize the reaction conditions many Lewis acids were added, such as $ZnCl_2$, ZnI_2, and $AgSbF_6$ as additives in this reaction, and the yields of this reaction were only slightly improved (Table 1, entries 2−5). When silver(I) triflate (AgOTf) was employed in the presence of $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ with DPPP, the yield was increased to 65% (Table 1, entry 5). On the other hand, we have used $CuCl_2$ and $Cu(OAc)_2$ as Lewis catalysts, which still afforded excellent yields (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). Fortunately, when $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ and CuI were used as co-catalysts, the yield of this reaction can be significantly improved, reaching 94% (Table 1, entry 8). However, when the reaction was carried out with only CuI as catalyst in the absence of $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$, merely 50% yield was obtained over 10 h (Table 1, entry 9). Meanwhile, the amount of catalyst loading also had a significant impact on the yield (Table 1, entries 10−12).

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions[a]



1a **2a** **3a**

entry	catalyst (mol %)	Lewis acid (mol %)	time (h)	yield (%)
1	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)		24	15
2	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	$ZnCl_2$ (10.0)	10	35
3	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	ZnI_2 (10.0)	16	30
4	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	$AgSbF_6$ (10.0)	16	28
5	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	AgOTf (10.0)	10	65
6	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	$CuCl_2$ (5.0)	4	89
7	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (5.0)	4	80
8	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	CuI (5.0)	4	94
9	DPPP (5.0)	CuI (5.0)	10	50
10	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (1.0)/DPPP (2.0)	CuI (1.0)	4	81
11	**$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)**	**CuI (2.5)**	**4**	**95**
12	$[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5)/DPPP (5.0)	CuI (1.0)	4	72

[a]Reaction conditions: $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$, Lewis acid and DPPP in DCE (2 mL) was stirred for 30 min under N_2 atm. Then **1a** (28.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) with **2a** (2.5 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for the indicated period of time.

To further optimize the reaction conditions, we then investigated the impact on temperature and Grignard reagent loading for the reaction (Table 2). By examining the effect of temperature, we observed that the best result was at 25 °C (99% yield) (Table 2, entries 1−3). Furthermore, the effect of an amount of Grignard reagent loading on the reactivity was also investigated (Table 2, entries 1, 4, and 5). When the loading of Grignard reagent was decreased to 1.5 equiv, the yield of **3a** was decreased to 82% (Table 2, entry 4). Consequently, the optimum reaction conditions were determined as follows: 2.5 mol % of $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$, 5.0 mol % of DPPP, and 2.5 mol % of CuI was stirred for 30 min in DCE under N_2 atmosphere, and then **1a** with 2.5 equiv of Grignard reagent **2a** were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at 25 °C.

Table 2. Effect of Temperature and Grignard Reagent Loading[a]



1a **2a** **3a**

entry	temp (°C)	**2a** (equiv)	**3a** yield (%)
1	**25**	**2.5**	**99**
2	40	2.5	95
3	55	2.5	98
4	25	1.5	82
5	25	2.0	97

[a]Reaction conditions: $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5 mol %), CuI (2.5 mol %), and DPPP (5 mol %) in DCE (2 mL) was stirred for 30 min under N_2 atm. **1a** (28.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) with **2a** were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we further examined ring-opening of **1a** with various Grignard reagents **2**, and the results are listed in Table 3. It is obvious that the reactivity of aryl Grignard reagents was better than the alkyl Grignard reagents in terms of yield because the carbanion nucleophilicity of the aryl group is greater than that of alkyl group (Table 3, entries 1−7 and entries 8 and 9). Furthermore, the results indicated that the positional property of the methyl on the phenyl ring in aryl Grignard reagents had little effect on reactivity; whatever the substituents in the *ortho*-, *meta*-, or *para*-positions, high yields were obtained (Table 3, entries 2−4) (up to 98% yield). When the ring-opening of **1a** reacted with aryl Grignard reagents which have electron-withdrawing substituents in *para*-position, the reaction still offered high yields (Table 3, entry 5). However, the ring-opening addition

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823

Table 3. Ring-Opening of Oxabenzonorbornadienes with Various Grignard Reagents[a]



entry	R^3	product	yield (%)
1	C_6H_5	**3a**	99
2	2-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**3b**	98
3	3-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**3c**	96
4	4-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**3d**	97
5	4-ClC_6H_4	**3e**	91
6	4-$CH_3OC_6H_4$	**3f**	80
7	3-FC_6H_4	**3g**	71
8	CH_3	**3h**	22
9		**3i**	35
10	2,4,6-$(CH_3)_3C_6H_2$	**3j**	-

[a]Reaction conditions: $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5 mol %), CuI (2.5 mol %), and DPPP (5 mol %) in DCE (2 mL) were stirred for 30 min under N_2 atm. **1a** (28.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) with **2a** (2.5 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h.

of 4-methoxyphenyl to **1a** lowered the yield to 80% (Table 3, entry 6). Meanwhile, (3-fluorophenyl)magnesium bromide as nucleophile for the ring opening was found to give moderate yield (Table 3, entry 7). Unsuccessfully, (2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)magnesium bromide failed to provide the expected product **3j** due to steric hindrance (Table 3, entry 10).

To extend the scope of this reaction, several types of oxabenzonorbornadienes **1b−e** with various Grignard reagents were also investigated under the standard reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 4. The electron-rich substrate **1b** containing 3,6-dimethoxy on the phenyl ring with aryl Grignard reagents afforded high yields (Table 4, entries 1−6). The substrate **1b** with (2-methylphenyl)magnesium bromide, owing to its steric hindrance, gave product **4b** in slightly lower yield (67%). On the other hand, electron-rich substrate **1c** containing 4,5-dimethoxy with any aryl Grignard reagents afforded high yields (Table 4, entries 7−11). When compared with electron-deficient **1d** (Table 4, entries 12−17), electron-rich substrate **1c** was more reactive than electron-deficient substrate **1d** and (3-fluorophenyl)magnesium bromide for the ring-opening were found to give low yield (45%) (Table 4, entry 17). Furthermore, substrate **1e** with Grignard reagents showed slightly lower reactivity due to steric hindrance (Table 4, entries 18−21).

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a simple, facile, and straightforward access to an extensive array of *anti*-stereoselective ring-opening of oxabicyclic alkenes with various Grignard reagents in the presence of iridium/copper cocatalyst, which can afford the corresponding *anti*-2-substituted-1,2-

Table 4. Ring-Opening of Oxabenzonorbornadienes 1b−e with Various Grignard Reagents[a]



entry	substrate	R^3	product	yield (%)
1	**1b**	C_6H_5	**4a**	96
2	**1b**	2-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**4b**	67
3	**1b**	3-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**4c**	97
4	**1b**	4-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**4d**	97
5	**1b**	4-ClC_6H_4	**4e**	80
6	**1b**	3-FC_6H_4	**4f**	95
7	**1c**	C_6H_5	**5a**	95
8	**1c**	2-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**5b**	90
9	**1c**	3-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**5c**	94
10	**1c**	4-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**5d**	95
11	**1c**	4-ClC_6H_4	**5e**	91
12	**1d**	C_6H_5	**6a**	88
13	**1d**	2-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**6b**	78
14	**1d**	3-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**6c**	75
15	**1d**	4-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**6d**	78
16	**1d**	4-ClC_6H_4	**6e**	75
17	**1d**	3-FC_6H_4	**6f**	45
18	**1e**	C_6H_5	**7a**	85
19	**1e**	2-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**7b**	88
20	**1e**	3-$CH_3C_6H_4$	**7c**	80
21	**1e**	4-ClC_6H_4	**7d**	89

[a]Reaction conditions: $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (2.5 mol %), CuI (2.5 mol %) and DPPP (5 mol %) in DCE (2 mL) was stirred for 30 min under N_2 atm. Oxabenzonorbornadiene **1** (0.2 mmol) with **2** (2.5 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h.

dihydronaphthalen-1-ols with good to excellent yields (up to 99% yield). The reaction is wide in scope for both the Grignard reagent and the oxabicyclic alkene. This protocol has the characteristic of mild reaction conditions and excellent yields. To the best of our knowledge, it represents the first example in ring-opening reaction of oxabenzonorbornadienes with carbanion-based nucleophiles giving exclusive *trans*-ring opening product. Further investigations are underway to clarify the mechanism of this transformation and to explore the scope of the cocatalyst system in asymmetric ring-opening reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for Iridium/Copper Co-catalyzed *Anti*-Stereoselective Ring-Opening Reactions of Oxabenzonorbornadienes 1a−e with Grignard Reagents. A 10.0 mL, two-neck, round-bottom flask was flame-dried under a stream of nitrogen and cooled to room temperature. $[Ir(COD)Cl]_2$ (3.4 mg, 2.5 mol %), DPPP (4.1 mg, 5 mol %), and CuI (1.0 mg, 2.5 mol %) were simultaneously added followed by the addition of anhydrous DCE (2.0 mL). After the mixture was stirred for about 30 min at room temperature, oxabenzonorbornadiene **1a** (28.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added, and then the Grignard reagent (0.5 mmol) was added gradually and dropped by a syringe pump. The mixed solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h until the reaction was complete as judged by thin-layer chromatography. The reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous 1 M NH_4Cl (2.0 mL). The mixture was extracted three times with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823

over anhydrous $MgSO_4$, and filtered. After vacuum evaporation of the solvent, the mixture concentrated to give a crude product which was purified by column chromatography (200−300 mesh silica gels) to give the target product.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-Phenyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3a).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (44.0 mg, 99% yield); mp 86−87 °C; R_f = 0.21 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.39 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.29−7.22 (m, 7H), 7.17−7.14 (m, 1H), 6.64 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (ddd, J = 7.8, 3.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 140.9, 135.6, 132.6, 129.9, 129.3, 128.7, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 128.2, 127.7, 127.2, 126.4, 126.4, 74.4, 50.1; MS (EI) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{11}O$ 219.10, found 219.04.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(2-Methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3b).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (46.3 mg, 98% yield); R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.37 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30−7.07 (m, 7H), 6.68 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.90−4.78 (m, 1H), 4.18−4.07 (m, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 1.58 (s, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.5, 136.8, 135.6, 132.6, 130.7, 130.1, 128.3, 128.1, 127.6, 127.6, 127.0, 126.7, 126.4, 126.4, 73.8, 45.6, 20.0; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}O$, 233.0966, found 233.0966.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(3-Methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3c).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (45.3 mg, 96% yield); mp 69−70 °C; R_f = 0.19 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:20, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.42 (dd, J = 8.2, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (ddd, J = 7.6, 5.3, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.21−7.13 (m, 2H), 7.10−7.02 (m, 3H), 6.63 (dd, J = 9.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (ddd, J = 8.4, 3.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.05−1.76 (m, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 141.0, 138.4, 135.8, 132.6, 130.0, 129.2, 128.7, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.3, 126.1, 125.4, 74.4, 50.2, 21.5; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}O$ 233.0966, found 233.0966.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3d).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (45.8 mg, 97% yield); mp 105−106 °C; R_f = 0.21 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:20, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.47−7.42 (m, 1H), 7.34−7.28 (m, 2H), 7.22−7.14 (m, 5H), 6.68 (dd, J = 9.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (ddd, J = 7.9, 3.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.03 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 137.8, 136.9, 135.7, 132.7, 130.1, 129.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.5, 126.4, 126.4, 74.4, 49.7, 21.1; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}O$, 233.0966, found 233.0966.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3e).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (46.6 mg, 91% yield); mp 134−135 °C; R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.40−7.34 (m, 1H), 7.26 (ddt, J = 7.3, 4.4, 1.9 Hz, 4H), 7.20−7.12 (m, 3H), 6.67 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82−3.75 (m, 1H), 1.97 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 139.2, 135.2, 133.0, 132.4, 129.7, 129.1, 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 126.6, 126.6, 74.2, 49.4; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{10}ClO$ 253.0420, found 253.0421.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3f).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (40.3 mg, 80% yield); mp 84−85 °C; R_f = 0.24 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.40 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (ddd, J = 8.8, 5.7, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 3H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (dd, J = 7.2, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.74 (dd, J = 5.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 1.61 (s, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 158.8, 135.6, 132.6, 130.2, 129.4, 128.1, 128.1, 127.4, 126.4, 126.4, 116.0, 114.8, 114.2, 74.5, 55.3, 49.2; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}O_2$ 249.0916, found 249.0917.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(3-Fluorophenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3g).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (34.1 mg, 71% yield); mp 100−101 °C; R_f = 0.20 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:20, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.38 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (ddd, J = 14.4, 10.1, 8.2 Hz, 3H), 7.17 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.99−6.87 (m, 2H), 6.73−6.62 (m, 1H), 5.99 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (dd, J = 4.6, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (s, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 164.2 (d, $^1J_{C-F}$ = 243.8 Hz), 143.4 (d, $^3J_{C-F}$ = 6.9 Hz), 135.3, 132.4, 130.2 (d, $^3J_{C-F}$ = 8.3 Hz), 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 126.6, 126.6, 124.1 (d, $^4J_{C-F}$ = 2.7 Hz), 115.3 (d, $^2J_{C-F}$ = 21.3 Hz), 114.2 (d, $^2J_{C-F}$ = 21.3 Hz), 74.1, 49.7. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ −112.6; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{10}FO$ 237.0716, found 237.0716.

(1*S,2*R**)-2-Methyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3h).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (7.1 mg, 22% yield); mp 63−64 °C; R_f = 0.18 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:20, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.42−7.38 (m, 1H), 7.31−7.21 (m, 3H), 7.13−7.09 (m, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (ddd, J = 11.7, 5.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 1.07 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 136.6, 132.5, 128.4, 127.6, 127.6, 127.3, 126.5, 126.5, 71.7, 35.3, 14.1; MS (EI) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{11}H_9O$ 157.09, found 157.08.

(1*S,2*R**)-2-Cyclohexyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (3i).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (16.0 mg, 35% yield); mp 81−82 °C; R_f = 0.22 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.36−7.21 (m, 3H), 7.13 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (dd, J = 9.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.78−4.64 (m, 1H), 2.20−2.01 (m, 3H), 1.82−1.68 (m, 4H), 1.38−1.14 (m, 5H), 1.05−0.96 (m, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 137.0, 132.8, 129.2, 128.6, 127.6, 127.6, 127.1, 126.5, 68.9, 46.1, 36.5, 31.0, 31.0, 26.6, 26.4; MS (EI) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{17}O$ 225.15, found 225.14.

(1*S,2*S**)-5,8-Dimethoxy-2-phenyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (4a).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (54.2 mg, 96% yield); R_f = 0.22 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.24−7.13 (m, 5H), 7.11 (dd, J = 9.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (ddd, J = 9.9, 5.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (s, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.3, 149.6, 140.1, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 126.8, 123.3, 122.4, 120.5, 111.3, 110.6, 67.5, 56.2, 55.9, 48.2; MS (EI) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{15}O_3$ 279.13, found 279.12.

(1*S,2*S**)-5,8-Dimethoxy-2-(2-methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (4b).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (39.7 mg, 67% yield); R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.20−7.11 (m, 2H), 7.07 (td, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.99−6.86 (m, 2H), 6.82−6.70 (m, 2H), 6.06 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 1H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.3, 149.6, 137.8, 136.3, 130.6, 128.2, 127.1, 126.7, 126.0, 123.2, 122.4, 120.7, 111.3, 110.4, 66.3, 56.2, 55.8, 44.0, 19.8; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O_3$, 293.1178, found 293.1175.

(1*S,2*S**)-5,8-Dimethoxy-2-(3-methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (4c).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (57.5 mg, 97% yield);. R_f = 0.19 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.10 (dd, J = 13.8, 9.3 Hz, 2H), 7.04−6.86 (m, 3H), 6.82−6.70 (m, 2H), 6.08 (dt, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H); ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.4, 149.6, 140.2, 138.1, 128.9, 128.4, 128.4, 127.6, 124.9, 123.4, 122.5, 120.3, 111.4, 110.6, 67.7, 56.3, 55.9, 48.2, 21.5; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z [M − 3H]$^-$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O_3$ 293.1178, found 293.1178.

(1*S,2*S**)-5,8-Dimethoxy-2-(4-methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (4d).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (57.5 mg, 97% yield); R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5, v/v); ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.15−7.04 (m, 5H), 6.85−6.81 (m, 1H), 6.77−6.74 (m, 1H), 6.14 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 3.93−3.90 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.45 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H); ^{13}C{^{1}H}

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823

NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.4, 149.5, 137.0, 136.4, 129.2, 128.5, 127.9, 123.3, 122.5, 120.3, 111.3, 110.5, 67.5, 56.2, 55.9, 47.8, 21.0; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O_3$ 293.1178, found 293.1179.

(1*S,2*S**)-5,8-Dimethoxy-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (4e).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (50.6 mg, 80% yield); mp 97−98 °C. R_f = 0.21 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.12 (ddd, J = 17.4, 16.4, 8.4 Hz, 5H), 6.81 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 3.87 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.3, 149.6, 138.4, 132.5, 129.4, 128.6, 127.6, 122.9, 122.2, 120.9, 111.4, 110.8, 67.4, 56.2, 55.9, 47.4; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{14}ClO_3$ 313.0631, found 313.0635.

(1*S,2*S**)-5,8-Dimethoxy-2-(3-fluorophenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (4f).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (57.0 mg, 95% yield); R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.50−7.35 (m, 1H), 7.14 (ddd, J = 10.9, 8.7, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.84−6.78 (m, 2H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.12−6.04 (m, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 164.1 (d, $^1J_{C-F}$ = 243.8 Hz), 151.3, 149.6, 142.7 (d, $^4J_{C-F}$ = 7.0 Hz), 129.9 (d, $^3J_{C-F}$ = 8.2 Hz), 127.4, 125.0, 123.8, 123.8, 121.0 (d, $^2J_{C-F}$ = 16.6 Hz), 114.9, 114.7, 111.5, 110.8, 67.3, 56.2, 56.0, 47.8; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ −113.2 (d, J = 17.1 Hz); HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{14}FO_3$ 297.0927, found 297.0927.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dimethoxy-2-phenyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (5a).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (53.6 mg, 95% yield); R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.30−7.22 (m, 5H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.58 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.79−3.73 (m, 1H), 1.97 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.7, 148.7, 140.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 127.1, 127.1, 125.6, 110.4, 110.0, 74.4, 56.0, 56.0, 50.2; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{15}O_3$ 279.1021, found 279.1023.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(2-methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (5b).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (53.3 mg, 90% yield); mp 100−101 °C; R_f = 0.22 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.19 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.15−7.03 (m, 3H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.60 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (ddd, J = 6.3, 4.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.6, 148.6, 138.5, 136.8, 130.7, 128.0, 128.0, 127.5, 127.1, 126.9, 126.3, 125.5, 110.6, 109.9, 73.7, 56.0, 56.0, 45.6, 20.0; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O_3$ 293.1178, found 293.1179.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(3-methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (5c).** Prepared according to the general procedure: yellow oil (55.7 mg, 94% yield); R_f = 0.22 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.24−6.99 (m, 5H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.55 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (dt, J = 14.2, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.6, 148.6, 141.0, 138.4, 129.2, 128.6, 128.4, 128.0, 128.0, 127.0, 125.6, 125.4, 110.2, 110.0, 74.4, 56.0, 56.0, 50.3, 21.5; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O_3$ 293.1178, found 293.1179.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(4-methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (5d).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (56.3 mg, 95% yield); R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.14−7.10 (m, 4H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.75−3.70 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 149.5, 148.5, 138.5, 137.7, 129.5, 128.3, 127.1, 125.6, 124.1, 123.8, 110.3, 109.8, 74.4, 56.0, 56.0, 49.8, 21.1; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O_3$ 293.1178, found 293.1179.

(1*S,2*R**)-6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (5e).** Prepared according to the general procedure: yellow oil (57.5 mg, 91% yield); R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.26−7.23 (m, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.59 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.74 (ddd, J = 8.7, 5.2, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.8, 148.8, 139.2, 132.9, 129.7, 128.8, 127.7, 127.4, 127.1, 125.4, 110.6, 110.1, 74.2, 56.0, 56.0, 49.4; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{14}ClO_3$ 313.0631, found 313.0632.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dibromo-2-phenyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (6a).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (66.5 mg, 88% yield); R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.32 (ddd, J = 10.5, 5.3, 3.4 Hz, 3H), 7.28−7.25 (m, 2H), 6.59−6.49 (m, 1H), 6.07 (ddd, J = 12.7, 8.6, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.82−4.72 (m, 1H), 3.74 (ddd, J = 9.3, 6.0, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 140.3, 136.6, 133.4, 132.1, 131.2, 130.9, 129.0, 128.5, 127.6, 126.0, 124.0, 123.6, 73.6, 50.0; MS (EI) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_9Br_2O$ 376.93, found 376.90.

(1*S,2*R**)-6,7-Dibromo-2-o-tolyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (6b).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (61.1 mg, 78% yield); R_f = 0.22 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.47−7.41 (m, 1H), 7.26−7.14 (m, 4H), 6.64−6.53 (m, 1H), 6.12−6.01 (m, 1H), 4.89−4.78 (m, 1H), 4.13−4.08 (m, 1H), 2.48 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 3H), 2.15−2.05 (m, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.1, 137.0, 136.6, 133.5, 132.6, 131.4, 130.9, 130.9, 127.5, 127.3, 126.6, 126.0, 124.1, 123.5, 73.3, 45.4, 20.0; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{11}Br_2O$ 390.9157, found 390.9154.

(1*S,2*R**)-6,7-Dibromo-2-m-tolyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (6c).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (58.8 mg, 75% yield); R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.29−7.26 (m, 1H), 7.15−7.07 (m, 3H), 6.57 (ddd, J = 12.0, 9.0, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (td, J = 9.6, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.83−4.77 (m, 1H), 3.71 (dt, J = 9.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.08−2.01 (m, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 140.3, 138.8, 136.7, 133.4, 132.3, 131.0, 130.8, 129.2, 128.9, 128.4, 126.0, 125.5, 124.0, 123.6, 73.6, 50.0, 21.5; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{11}Br_2O$ 390.9157, found 390.9155.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dibromo-2-p-tolyl-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (6d).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (61.1 mg, 78% yield); mp 108−109 °C; R_f = 0.24 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.72 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.39 (m, 1H), 7.16 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 4H), 6.60−6.51 (m, 1H), 6.09 (td, J = 9.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.79−4.73 (m, 1H), 3.75−3.68 (m, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.06 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 137.3, 137.1, 136.6, 133.4, 132.4, 131.2, 130.8, 129.7, 128.3, 125.9, 124.0, 123.5, 73.6, 49.5, 21.1; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{11}Br_2O$ 390.9157, found 390.9156.

(1*S,2*R**)-6,7-Dibromo-2-(4-chloro-phenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (6e).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (61.8 mg, 75% yield); R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.47−7.39 (m, 1H), 7.32 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23−7.18 (m, 2H), 6.64−6.54 (m, 1H), 6.05 (ddd, J = 17.9, 9.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.85−4.61 (m, 1H), 3.80−3.71 (m, 1H), 2.20−2.02 (m, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.6, 136.2, 133.4, 133.2, 131.4, 131.0, 129.8, 129.1, 126.3, 124.3, 123.8, 116.7, 73.5, 49.3; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_8Br_2ClO$ 410.8609, found 410.8604.

(1*S,2*S**)-6,7-Dibromo-2-(3-fluoro-phenyl)-1,2-dihydronaphthalen-1-ol (6f).** Prepared according to the general procedure: colorless oil (35.6 mg, 45% yield); R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823

7.61 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (tt, J = 11.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dddd, J = 11.5, 9.9, 7.6, 3.3 Hz, 3H), 6.51 (ddd, J = 11.9, 7.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.05−5.94 (m, 1H), 4.75−4.61 (m, 1H), 3.71−3.65 (m, 1H), 2.00−1.92 (m, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 164.0 (d, $^1J_{C-F}$ = 246.3 Hz), 142.8 (d, $^3J_{C-F}$ = 6.9 Hz), 136.2, 133.1, 131.2, 131.2, 131.0, 130.5 (d, $^3J_{C-F}$ = 8.3 Hz), 126.4, 124.4 (d, $^4J_{C-F}$ = 2.5 Hz), 124.0, 123.8, 115.4 (d, $^2J_{C-F}$ = 21.3 Hz), 114.6 (d, $^2J_{C-F}$ = 20 Hz), 73.4, 49.6. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ −112.0; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{16}H_8Br_2FO$ 394.8906, found 394.8909.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-Phenyl-1,2-dihydrotriphenylen-1-ol (7a).**[12] Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (54.8 mg, 85% yield); mp 80−81 °C; R_f = 0.23 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.76−8.67 (m, 2H), 8.43−8.37 (m, 1H), 8.24−8.18 (m, 1H), 7.72−7.56 (m, 5H), 7.19−7.08 (m, 5H), 6.48 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.7, 130.8, 130.6, 130.2, 129.9, 128.7, 128.7, 127.8, 127.4, 127.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.9, 126.5, 126.5, 123.9, 123.7, 123.1, 123.1, 122.4, 69.5, 48.8; MS (EI) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{24}H_{15}O$, 319.14, found 319.11.

(1*S,2*S**)-2-(2-Methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriphenylen-1-ol (7b).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (59.2 mg, 88% yield); mp 71−72 °C; R_f = 0.22 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.73−8.65 (m, 2H), 8.41−8.34 (m, 1H), 8.22−8.18 (m, 1H), 7.71−7.56 (m, 5H), 7.17 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (td, J = 7.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.84−6.73 (m, 2H), 6.41 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.28−2.10 (m, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 136.6, 136.0, 131.0, 130.8, 130.6, 130.2, 129.8, 128.7, 127.4, 127.4, 127.1, 127.4, 126.7, 126.5, 126.5, 126.3, 123.9, 123.6, 123.2, 123.2, 122.5, 68.2, 44.7, 19.9; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{25}H_{17}O$ 333.1279, found 333.1278.

(1*S,2*R**)-2-(3-Methylphenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriphenylen-1-ol (7c).** Prepared according to the general procedure: white solid (53.8 mg, 80% yield); mp 99−100 °C; R_f = 0.25 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.77−8.69 (m, 2H), 8.44−8.38 (m, 1H), 8.27−8.21 (m, 1H), 7.73−7.59 (m, 5H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.95−6.91 (m, 2H), 6.48 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 4.13 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.7, 138.3, 130.8, 130.6, 130.2, 130.0, 128.7, 128.7, 127.9, 127.4, 127.4, 127.0, 127.0, 126.4, 126.4, 124.7, 123.9, 123.7, 123.1, 123.1, 122.2, 69.5, 48.9, 21.4; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{25}H_{17}O$ 333.1279, found 333.1277.

(1*S,2*R**)-2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-dihydrotriphenylen-1-ol (7d).** Prepared according to the general procedure. A white solid (63.4 mg, 89% yield). mp 123−124 °C; R_f = 0.20 on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:10, v/v); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.72 (ddd, J = 17.6, 6.9, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 8.38 (dd, J = 5.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.23−8.14 (m, 1H), 7.74−7.57 (m, 5H), 7.07 (s, 4H), 6.56−6.34 (m, 1H), 5.48 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 137.0, 132.8, 130.8, 130.7, 130.0, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.5, 127.5, 127.2, 127.2, 127.1, 126.6, 126.4, 123.9, 123.5, 123.2, 123.2, 122.8, 69.4, 48.1; HRMS (APCI-ion trap) m/z $[M - 3H]^-$ calcd for $C_{24}H_{14}ClO$ 353.0733, found 353.0732.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479.

General experimental remarks; ^1H and $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ spectra for compounds **3a−i**, **4a−f**, **5a−e**, **6a−f**; and **7a−d**; ^{19}F NMR for compounds **3g**, **4f**, and **6f** (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yangdq@scnu.edu.cn. Phone: +86 20 39310068. Fax: +86 20 31040403.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21172081, 21372090), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (S2013020013091), the City of Guangzhou Science and Technology Plan Projects (156300018), and the Graduate Student Research and Innovation Foundation of South China Normal University (2015lkxm32) for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Lautens, M.; Fagnou, K.; Hiebert, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 48−58. (b) Fagnou, K.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 169−196. (c) Rayabarapu, D. K.; Cheng, C.-H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 971−983. (d) Yang, D.-Q.; Han, Y.-F. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *26*, 1613−1622. (e) Cheng, H.-C.; Liang, X.-L.; Li, X.-L.; Long, Y.-H.; Yang, D.-Q. *Youji Huaxue* **2012**, *32*, 433−443.

(2) (a) Costello, G. F.; James, R.; Shaw, J. S.; Slater, A. M.; Stutchbury, N. C. J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 181−189. (b) Freeman, J. P.; Michalson, E. T.; ĎAndrea, S. V.; Baczynskyj, L.; VonVoigtlander, P. F.; Lahti, R. A.; Smith, M. W.; Lawson, C. F.; Scahill, T. A.; Mizsak, S. A.; Szmuszkovicz, J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 1891−1896. (c) Snyder, S. E.; Aviles-Garay, F. A.; Chakraborti, R.; Nichols, D. E.; Watts, V. J.; Mailman, R. B. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 2395−2409. (d) Perrone, R.; Berardi, F.; Colabufo, N. A.; Leopoldo, M.; Tortorella, V.; Fiorentini, F.; Olgiati, V.; Ghiglieri, A.; Govoni, S. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 942−949. (e) Kim, K.; Guo, Y.; Sulikowski, G. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 6866−6871. (f) Kamal, A.; Gayatri, N. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 3359−3362.

(3) (a) Caple, R.; Chen, G. M. S.; Nelson, J. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 2874−2875. (b) Arjona, O.; Fernandez de la Pradilla, R.; Garcia, E.; Martin-Domenech, A.; Plumet, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6437−6440. (c) Lautens, M.; Gajda, C.; Chiu, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1993**, 1193−1194. (d) Bos, P. H.; Rudolph, A.; Perez, M.; Fananas-Mastral, M.; Harutyunyan, S. R.; Feringa, B. L. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 1748−1750.

(4) (a) Imamoto, T.; Sugita, K.; Yoshida, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 11934−11935. (b) Cabrera, S.; Arrayas, R. G.; Alonso, I.; Carretero, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 17938−17947. (c) Zhang, T.-K.; Yuan, K.; Hou, X.-L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2007**, *692*, 1912−1919. (d) Imamoto, T.; Saitoh, Y.; Koide, A.; Ogura, T.; Yoshida, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8636−8639. (e) Endo, K.; Tanaka, K.; Ogawa, M.; Shibata, T. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 868−871. (f) Bertozzi, F.; Pineschi, M.; Macchia, F.; Arnold, L. A.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2703−2705.

(5) Lautens, M.; Di Felice, C.; Huboux, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6817−6820.

(6) Feringa, B. L.; De Vries, A. H. M. In *Advances in Catalytic Processes: Asymmetric Chemical Transformations*; Doyle, M. P., Ed.; JAI: Greenwich, 1995; Vol. *1*, pp 151−192.

(7) Lautens, M.; Ma, S.-H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 7246−7247.

(8) (a) Arrayás, R. G.; Cabrera, S.; Carretero, J. C. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1333−1336. (b) Gómez Arrayás, R.; Cabrera, S.; Carretero, J. C. *Synthesis* **2006**, 1205−1219.

(9) (a) Zhang, W.; Wang, L.-X.; Shi, W.-J.; Zhou, Q.-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3734−3736. (b) Zhang, W.; Zhu, S.-F.; Qiao, X.-C.; Zhou, Q.-L. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2008**, *3*, 2105−2111.

(10) Millet, R.; Gremaud, L.; Bernardez, T.; Palais, L.; Alexakis, A. *Synthesis* **2009**, *2009*, 2101−2112.

(11) Nakamura, M.; Matsuo, K.; Inoue, T.; Nakamura, E. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1373−1375.

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823

(12) Yang, D.-Q.; Liang, N. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 2080−2086.
(13) (a) Yang, D.-Q.; Long, Y.-H.; Wang, H.; Zhang, Z.-M. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4723−4726. (b) Yang, D.-Q.; Hu, P.; Long, Y.-H.; Wu, Y.-J.; Zeng, H.-P.; Wang, H.; Zuo, X.-J. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *5*, 53. (c) Long, Y.-H.; Yang, D.-Q.; Zhang, Z.-M.; Wu, Y.-J.; Zeng, H.-P.; Chen, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 7291−7299. (d) Yang, D.-Q.; Long, Y.-H.; Wu, Y.-J.; Zuo, X.-J.; Tu, Q.-Q.; Fang, S.; Jiang, L.-S.; Wang, S.-Y.; Li, C.-R. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 5936−5940. (e) Yang, D.-Q.; Long, Y.-H.; Zhang, J.-F.; Zeng, H.-P.; Wang, S.-Y.; Li, C.-R. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 3477−3480. (f) Yang, W.; Luo, R.-S.; Yang, D.-Q. *Molecules* **2015**, *20*, 21103−21124.
(14) (a) Fang, S.; Liang, X.-L.; Long, Y.-H.; Li, X.-L.; Yang, D.-Q.; Wang, S.-Y.; Li, C.-R. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 3113−3118. (b) Cheng, H.-C.; Yang, D.-Q. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 9756−9765.
(15) Yang, D.-Q.; Xia, J.-Y.; Long, Y.-H.; Zeng, Z.-Y.; Zuo, X.-J.; Wang, S.-Y.; Li, C.-R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 4871−4881.
(16) Long, Y.-H.; Li, X.-L.; Pan, X.-J.; Ding, D.-D.; Xu, X.; Zuo, X.-J.; Yang, D.-Q.; Wang, S.-Y.; Li, C.-R. *Catal. Lett.* **2014**, *144*, 419−433.
(17) (a) Guo, C.; Fleige, M.; Janssen-Müller, D.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Glorius, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 7840−7843. (b) Wei, G.; Zhang, C.-H.; Bures, F.; Ye, X.-Y.; Tan, C.-H.; Jiang, Z.-Y. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 3708−3712. (c) Schwarz, K. J.; Amos, J. L.; Klein, J. C.; Do, D. T.; Snaddon, T. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5214−5217. (d) Yasukawa, T.; Saito, Y.; Miyamura, H.; Kobayashi, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 8058−8061. (e) Bera, S.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Studer, A. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 4940−4943.
(18) (a) Zhou, J. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2010**, *5*, 422−434. (b) Allen, A. E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 633−658.
(19) (a) Fan, B.-M.; Li, S.-F.; Chen, H.-L.; Lu, Z.-W.; Liu, S.-S.; Yang, Q.-J.; Yu, L.; Xu, J.-B.; Zhou, Y.-Y.; Wang, J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 2827−2832. (b) Li, S.-F.; Xu, J.-B.; Fan, B.-M.; Lu, Z.-W.; Zeng, C.-Y.; Bian, Z.-X.; Zhou, Y.-Y.; Wang, J. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 9003−9007. (c) Zeng, C.-Y.; Yang, F.; Chen, J.-C.; Wang, J.; Fan, B.-M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 8425−8428. (d) Xu, X.; Chen, J.-C.; He, Z.-X.; Zhou, Y.-Y.; Fan, B.-M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2016**, *14*, 2480−2486.

■ NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

This paper was published ASAP on August 5, 2016. Paragraph heads for compounds **6a−f** in the experimental data have been corrected. The revised paper was reposted on August 10, 2016.

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01479
J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7817−7823